

1. 어법 판단 (맞출형)

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 밑줄 친 (a)~(e) 중, 어법상 틀린 것은?

Supporters who are (a) disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating (b) what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever (c) giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors (d) has failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are (e) restricted by an equivalent set of duties.

- ① (a)
- ② (b)
- ③ (c)
- ④ (d)
- ⑤ (e)

2. 어법 선택 (괄호형)

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 괄호 안에서 어법에 맞는 표현으로 가장 적절한 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating (A)[what / which] competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever (B)[giving / given] a moment's thought to what they owe their companions (C)[is / are], to put it gently, not a very good companion.

- ① what - given - is
- ② which - giving - are
- ③ which - given - are
- ④ what - giving - is
- ⑤ what - giving - are

### 3. 어휘 적절성 (밑줄형)

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 밑줄 친 부분 중, 문맥상 낱말의 쓰임이 적절하지 않은 것은?

Supporters who are (a) disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the (b) equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are (c) authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to take the supporter/competitor relationship all that (d) seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are (e) expanded by an equivalent set of duties.

- ① (a)
- ② (b)
- ③ (c)
- ④ (d)
- ⑤ (e)

### 4. 어휘 선택 (괄호형)

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 괄호 안에서 문맥에 맞는 낱말로 가장 적절한 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the (A)[equivalent / contradictory] duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are (B)[authorized / prohibited] to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely (C)[fulfilling / neglecting] their part of the agreement.

- ① equivalent - prohibited - fulfilling
- ② contradictory - authorized - neglecting
- ③ equivalent - prohibited - neglecting
- ④ equivalent - authorized - fulfilling
- ⑤ contradictory - authorized - fulfilling

5. 빈칸 추론 (단어/구)

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 빈칸에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the \_\_\_\_\_ that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously.

- ① financial benefits
- ② athletic achievements
- ③ personal preferences
- ④ equivalent duties
- ⑤ excessive demands

6. 빈칸 추론 (절/표현)

객관식 · 상

다음 글의 빈칸에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties. Therefore, supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them \_\_\_\_\_.

- ① should be granted more exclusive rights
- ② are successfully maintaining their friendships
- ③ have already proven their loyalty to the team
- ④ are prioritizing their duties over their privileges
- ⑤ are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement

7. 순서 배열

객관식 · 중

주어진 글 다음에 이어질 글의 순서로 가장 적절한 것은?

[주어진 글]

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters.

(A) One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion.

(B) Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously.

(C) As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

- ① (A) - (C) - (B)
- ② (A) - (B) - (C)
- ③ (B) - (A) - (C)
- ④ (B) - (C) - (A)
- ⑤ (C) - (B) - (A)

### 8. 문장 삽입

객관식 · 중

글의 흐름으로 보아, 주어진 문장이 들어가기에 가장 적절한 곳은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. ① One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. ② Similarly, this lack of reciprocity can be seen in various human interactions. ③ As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties. ④ Supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement. ⑤ This highlights the importance of mutual respect in any bond.

#### [주어진 문장]

Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously.

### 9. 내용 일치/불일치

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 내용과 일치하지 않는 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

- ① Fans should reflect on their own obligations to athletes just as they think about what athletes owe them.
- ② A friendship is considered poor if one party only focuses on their own entitlements without giving back.
- ③ The relationship between a fan and an athlete is compared to the reciprocal nature of a friendship.
- ④ Special rights held by fans are absolute and remain unaffected by any corresponding duties.
- ⑤ Fans who only demand things from athletes without self-reflection are not fulfilling their role properly.

## 10. 주제/제목/요지

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

- ① The history of the emotional bond between athletes and their fans
- ② Ways for athletes to fulfill their obligations to their supporters
- ③ The importance of prioritizing individual rights in a friendship
- ④ The psychological impact of high expectations on professional athletes
- ⑤ The reciprocal nature of duties and rights in the fan-athlete relationship

## 11. 무관한 문장

객관식 · 중

다음 글에서 전체 흐름과 관계 없는 문장은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. ① One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is not a very good companion. ② Similarly, fans who only think about their rights have failed to take the athlete/fan relationship seriously. ③ Professional athletes often struggle with the immense pressure of maintaining their performance levels throughout the season. ④ As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties. ⑤ Fans who never consider how to be better supporters are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

## 12. 요약문 완성

객관식 · 중

다음 글의 내용을 한 문장으로 요약하고자 한다. 빈칸 (A)와 (B)에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties.

Just as a healthy friendship requires mutual care, the relationship between fans and athletes should involve acknowledging \_\_\_\_\_(A)\_\_\_\_\_ alongside the \_\_\_\_\_(B)\_\_\_\_\_ that fans claim to have.

- ① financial support - responsibilities
- ② social status - expectations
- ③ moral virtues - opinions
- ④ physical efforts - rewards
- ⑤ equivalent duties - privileges

## 13. 보기 조합형

객관식 · 상

다음 글의 내용과 일치하지 않는 것만을 <보기>에서 있는 대로 고른 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

### <보기>

- ㉠ Supporters should consider their own duties toward athletes.
- ㉡ A person who only takes from friends without giving is considered a good friend.
- ㉢ The relationship between fans and athletes is compared to the reciprocal nature of a friendship.
- ㉣ Special rights of fans are absolute and not limited by any duties.
- ㉤ Many fans fail to take their relationship with athletes seriously by ignoring their own obligations.
- ㉥ Expressing opinions about athletes' duties is enough to be a good fan.
- ㉦ Obligations and rights in human life are often restricted by each other.

- ① ㉠, ㉢
- ② ㉡, ㉥
- ③ ㉢, ㉦
- ④ ㉣, ㉤, ㉥
- ⑤ ㉡, ㉣, ㉥

14. 어법 조합형

객관식 · 상

다음 밑줄 친 ㉠~㉦ 중, 어법상 틀린 것끼리만 짝지은 것은?

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess ㉠ are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can ㉡ being better supporters even as they ㉢ confidently express opinions about what competitors ㉣ owes them are ㉤ scarcely fulfilling their part of the ㉦ agreement.

- ① ㉠, ㉡
- ② ㉡, ㉣
- ③ ㉢, ㉤
- ④ ㉡, ㉣, ㉦
- ⑤ ㉠, ㉢, ㉤

15. 어휘 조합형

객관식 · 상

다음 밑줄 친 부분 중 문맥상 낱말의 쓰임이 적절하지 않은 것끼리만 짝지은 것은?

Supporters who are ㉠ disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the ㉡ contradictory duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are ㉢ authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever ㉣ pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are ㉤ restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the ㉦ disagreement.

- ① ㉠, ㉢
- ② ㉠, ㉣
- ③ ㉡, ㉦
- ④ ㉡, ㉣
- ⑤ ㉠, ㉢, ㉤

16. 어법 고쳐쓰기

서술형 · 중

다음 글에서 어법상 틀린 곳을 2곳 찾아 바르게 고쳐 쓰시오.

Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess is restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

[Blank box for answer]

17. 본문 빈칸 완성

서술형 · 하

다음 글의 내용에 맞게 빈칸에 알맞은 단어를 쓰시오.

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the (1)\_\_\_\_\_ (2)\_\_\_\_\_ that supporters might have as supporters.

[Blank box for answer]

18. 조건 영작

서술형 · 상

다음 우리말을 [조건]에 맞게 영작하시오.

자신이 친구들에게 빚지고 있는 것에 대해서는 단 한번도 생각하지 않고 친구들로부터 받을 자격이 있는 것에 대해서만 생각하는 사람은 그리 좋은 친구가 아니다.

[조건] 관계대명사 what을 두 번 사용할 것

[조건] without ~ing 구문을 사용할 것

[조건] 20단어 내외로 작성할 것

[Blank box for answer]

19. 주제 영작

서술형 · 상

다음 글의 주제를 영작하고자 할 때, 주어진 단어를 활용하여 영작하시오.

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to take the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

reciprocal obligation athlete fan

[Blank box for answer]

20. 요약 서술형

서술형 : 상

다음 글의 내용을 한 문장으로 요약하고자 한다. 빈칸에 들어갈 알맞은 단어를 글에서 찾아 쓰시오. (어형 변화 가능)

Supporters who are disposed to devote a great deal of time contemplating what competitors owe them as supporters should also consider the equivalent duties that supporters might have as supporters. One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is, to put it gently, not a very good companion. Likewise, supporters who only reflect on what competitors owe them without ever pondering what they owe to competitors have failed to treat the supporter/competitor relationship all that seriously. As in almost every other sphere of human life, whatever special privileges supporters may possess are restricted by an equivalent set of duties, and supporters who never consider how they can be better supporters even as they confidently express opinions about what competitors owe them are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement.

Fans should recognize that their (1)\_\_\_\_\_ are always accompanied by (2)\_\_\_\_\_ toward the athletes they support.

Blank box for writing the answer.

**1. 어법 판단 (밑줄형) (객관식)****정답: ④ — (d) has → have**

해당 문장의 주어는 'supporters'로 복수 명사입니다. 따라서 단수 동사인 'has'가 아니라 복수 동사인 'have'를 사용하는 것이 어법상 적절합니다. 해석: 마찬가지로, 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지만 생각하고 자신들이 선수들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 팬과 선수 사이의 관계를 그렇게 진지하게 받아들이지 못한 것입니다.

배점: 3점

**2. 어법 선택 (괄호형) (객관식)****정답: ④ — (A) what (B) giving (C) is**

(A) 'owe'의 목적어가 빠진 불완전한 절을 이끌며 선행사가 없으므로 관계대명사 'what'이 적절합니다. (B) 전치사 'without' 뒤에는 동명사 형태인 'giving'이 와야 합니다. (C) 문장의 주어는 'One'으로 단수이므로 단수 동사 'is'가 적절합니다. 해석: 친구들로부터 무엇을 받을 자격이 있는지만 생각하고 친구들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해서는 단 한 번도 생각하지 않는 사람은, 완곡하게 말해서, 그리 좋은 친구가 아닙니다.

배점: 3점

**3. 어휘 적절성 (밑줄형) (객관식)****정답: ⑤ — (e) expanded → restricted**

인간 삶의 거의 모든 영역에서 권리는 그에 상응하는 의무에 의해 '제한'된다는 논리가 적절하므로, '확장된다' (expanded)가 아니라 '제한된다(restricted)' 혹은 '한정된다(limited)'가 되어야 합니다. 해석: 인간 삶의 거의 다른 모든 영역에서와 마찬가지로, 팬들이 가질 수 있는 어떤 특별한 권리든 그에 상응하는 일련의 의무에 의해 제한됩니다.

배점: 3점

**4. 어휘 선택 (괄호형) (객관식)****정답: ④ — (A) equivalent (B) authorized (C) fulfilling**

(A) 권리에 '상응하는' 의무를 의미하므로 'equivalent'가 적절합니다. (B) 친구로부터 받을 '권한이 있는(자격이 있는)' 것을 의미하므로 'authorized'가 적절합니다. (C) 자신의 의무를 다하지 못하고 있다는 맥락이므로 '이행하다(fulfilling)'를 'scarcely(거의 ~않다)'가 수식하는 구조가 적절합니다. 해석: 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해 자신 있게 의견을 피력하면서도 정작 자신이 어떻게 더 나은 팬이 될 수 있을지에 대해서는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 자신의 계약상의 몫을 거의 다하지 못하고 있는 것입니다.

배점: 3점

**5. 빈칸 추론 (단어/구) (객관식)****정답: ④ — ④ equivalent duties**

글의 핵심 주제는 팬들이 선수들에게 요구하는 권리만큼이나 팬들이 가져야 할 '상응하는 의무'가 있다는 것입니다. 따라서 '상응하는 의무(equivalent duties)'가 빈칸에 가장 적절합니다. 해석: 운동선수들이 팬으로서 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지 생각하는 데 많은 시간을 할애하는 경향이 있는 팬들은 팬으로서 가질 수 있는 상응하는 의무에 대해서도 생각해야 합니다.

배점: 3점

**6. 빈칸 추론 (절/표현) (객관식)****정답: ⑤ — ⑤ are scarcely fulfilling their part of the agreement**

글의 마지막 부분은 자신의 권리만 주장하고 의무를 생각하지 않는 팬들은 팬으로서의 역할을 다하지 못하고 있다는 비판적인 결론으로 이어져야 합니다. 따라서 '자신의 계약상의 몫을 거의 다하지 못하고 있다'는 표현이 적절합니다. 해석: 그러므로 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해 자신 있게 의견을 피력하면서도 정작 자신이 어떻게 더 나은 팬이 될 수 있을지에 대해서는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 자신의 계약상의 몫을 거의 다하지 못하고 있는 것입니다.

배점: 4점

### 7. 순서 배열 (객관식)

정답: ② — ② (A) - (B) - (C)

주어진 글에서 팬의 의무를 언급한 후, (A)에서 친구 관계라는 비유를 도입합니다. (B)에서 'Likewise'를 통해 다시 팬과 선수의 관계로 돌아와 비유를 적용하며, (C)에서 이를 인간 삶의 보편적 원리로 확장하며 결론을 맺는 것이 논리적입니다. 해석: (A) 친구들로부터 무엇을 받을 자격이 있는지만 생각하고 친구들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해서는 단 한 번도 생각하지 않는 사람은 그리 좋은 친구가 아닙니다. (B) 마찬가지로, 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지만 생각하고 자신들이 선수들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 그 관계를 진지하게 받아들이지 못한 것입니다.

배점: 3점

### 8. 문장 삽입 (객관식)

정답: ③ — ③

주어진 문장은 'Likewise'로 시작하여 친구 관계의 비유(① 뒤 문장)를 팬과 선수의 관계에 다시 적용하는 부분입니다. 따라서 친구 관계에 대한 설명이 끝난 뒤인 ③번 자리가 가장 적절합니다. 해석: 마찬가지로, 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지만 생각하고 자신들이 선수들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 팬과 선수 사이의 관계를 그렇게 진지하게 받아들이지 못한 것입니다.

배점: 3점

### 9. 내용 일치/불일치 (객관식)

정답: ④ — ④ **Special rights held by fans are absolute and remain unaffected by any corresponding duties.**

지문에서 팬의 특별한 권리는 상응하는 일련의 의무에 의해 '제한된다(restricted)'고 명시되어 있으므로, 권리가 절대적이며 의무에 영향을 받지 않는다는 ④번은 내용과 일치하지 않습니다. 해석: 팬들이 가질 수 있는 어떤 특별한 권리든 그에 상응하는 일련의 의무에 의해 제한됩니다.

배점: 3점

### 10. 주제/제목/요지 (객관식)

정답: ③ — ⑤ **The reciprocal nature of duties and rights in the fan-athlete relationship**

이 글은 팬과 선수의 관계가 친구 관계처럼 상호적이어야 하며, 팬이 권리를 주장하는 만큼 그에 따른 의무도 다해야 한다는 점을 강조하고 있습니다. 따라서 ⑤번 '팬-선수 관계에서 의무와 권리의 상호적 성격'이 주제로 가장 적절합니다. 해석: 팬과 선수 사이의 관계에서 의무와 권리의 상호적 성격

배점: 3점

### 11. 무관한 문장 (객관식)

정답: ② — ③

이 글은 팬의 권리와 의무 사이의 균형과 상호성에 대해 다루고 있습니다. ③번 문장은 운동선수들이 겪는 성적 유지에 대한 압박감을 언급하고 있으므로 글의 전체적인 흐름인 '팬의 의무'와는 거리가 멉니다. 해석: 프로 선수들은 종종 시즌 내내 경기력을 유지해야 한다는 엄청난 압박감으로 고생하곤 합니다.

배점: 3점

### 12. 요약문 완성 (객관식)

정답: ⑤ — (A) **equivalent duties** - (B) **privileges**

지문은 팬들이 주장하는 권리(privileges)와 더불어 그에 상응하는 의무(equivalent duties)를 인식해야 함을 강조하고 있습니다. 따라서 (A)에는 duties, (B)에는 privileges가 들어가는 것이 가장 적절합니다. 해석: 건강한 우정이 상호적인 보살핌을 필요로 하듯이, 팬과 선수 사이의 관계도 팬들이 가졌다고 주장하는 특권과 더불어 상응하는 의무를 인정하는 것을 포함해야 합니다.

배점: 3점

### 13. 보기 조합형 (객관식)

정답: ⑤ — ⑤ ㉞, ㉟, ㊱가 일치하지 않음

㉞ 친구에게 받기만 하고 주지 않는 사람은 좋은 친구가 아니라고 했으므로 틀린 진술입니다. ㉟ 팬의 권리는 의무에 의해 제한된다고 했으므로 절대적이라는 진술은 틀렸습니다. ㊱ 선수에게 의견을 피력하는 것만으로는 부족하며 자신의 의무를 다해야 한다고 했으므로 틀린 진술입니다. 해석: ㉞ 친구로부터 받기만 하고 주는 것에 대해 생각하지 않는 사람은 좋은 친구로 여겨진다. (X) ㉟ 팬의 특별한 권리는 절대적이며 어떤 의무에 의해서도 제한되지 않는다. (X) ㊱ 선수의 의무에 대해 의견을 표현하는 것만으로도 좋은 팬이 되기에 충분하다. (X)

배점: 4점

### 14. 어법 조합형 (객관식)

정답: ② — ② ㉞, ㉟가 어법상 틀림

㉞ 조동사 'can' 뒤에는 동사원형이 와야 하므로 'being'을 'be'로 고쳐야 합니다. ㉟ 선행사인 'competitors'가 복수이므로 동사 'owes'를 'owe'로 고쳐야 합니다. ㉠ 수동태(are restricted), ㉡ 부사(confidently), ㉢ 빈도부사(scarcely), ㉣ 명사(agreement)는 모두 적절합니다. 해석: 선수들이 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해 자신 있게 의견을 피력하면서도 정작 자신이 어떻게 더 나은 팬이 될 수 있을지에 대해서는 전혀 생각하지 않는 팬들은 자신의 계약상의 몫을 거의 다하지 못하고 있는 것입니다.

배점: 4점

15. 어휘 조합형 (객관식)

정답: ㉓ — ㉓ ㉑, ㉒가 문맥상 부적절

㉑ 권리에 '상응하는' 의무여야 하므로 'contradictory(모순되는)'가 아니라 'equivalent'나 'corresponding'이 적절합니다. ㉒ 팬과 선수 사이의 암묵적인 '합의'나 '계약'을 의미하므로 'disagreement(불일치)'가 아니라 'agreement'나 'bargain'이 적절합니다. 해석: ㉑ 모순되는 의무 (X) -> 상응하는 의무 (O), ㉒ 불일치의 일부 (X) -> 합의의 일부 (O)

배점: 4점

16. 어법 고쳐쓰기 (서술형)

모범답안: 1. is → are 2. (본문 내 다른 오류 상정 가능)

1. is → are

2. restricted → restricting

1. 복수 주어(privileges)에 따른 수일치 오류입니다. 2. 지문 내에서 'restricted'는 수동태로 적절하게 쓰였습니다. 만약 다른 부분을 틀리게 낸다면 'confidently'를 'confident'로 바꾸는 등의 변형이 가능합니다. 해석: 팬들이 가질 수 있는 어떤 특별한 권리든 그에 상응하는 일련의 의무에 의해 제한됩니다.

배점: 4점

17. 본문 빈칸 완성 (서술형)

모범답안: (1) equivalent (2) duties

(1) equivalent

(2) duties

지문의 첫 문장에서 팬들이 가져야 할 '상응하는 의무'를 언급하고 있습니다. 해석: 운동선수들이 팬으로서 자신들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지 생각하는 데 많은 시간을 할애하는 경향이 있는 팬들은 팬으로서 가질 수 있는 상응하는 의무에 대해서도 생각해야 합니다.

배점: 4점

18. 조건 영작 (서술형)

모범답안: One who reflects only on what they are authorized to obtain from their companions without ever giving a moment's thought to what they owe their companions is not a very good companion.

주어 'One'을 수식하는 관계사절 내에서 'what'을 사용하여 목적어 역할을 하게 하고, 'without ever giving'을 통해 '~하지 않고'라는 의미를 표현합니다. 해석: 친구들로부터 무엇을 받을 자격이 있지만 생각하고 친구들에게 무엇을 빚지고 있는지에 대해서는 단 한 번도 생각하지 않는 사람은 그리 좋은 친구가 아닙니다.

배점: 5점

19. 주제 영작 (서술형)

모범답안: The relationship between fans and athletes involves reciprocal obligations and rights.

팬과 선수의 관계가 상호적인 의무와 권리를 포함한다는 점이 글의 핵심 주제입니다. 'reciprocal(상호적인)', 'obligation(의무)' 등의 단어를 사용하여 문장을 구성합니다. 해석: 팬과 선수 사이의 관계는 상호적인 의무와 권리를 수반한다.

배점: 6점

20. 요약 서술형 (서술형)

모범답안: (1) privileges (2) duties

(1) privileges

(2) duties

팬의 권리(privileges)는 항상 선수에 대한 의무(duties/obligations)와 함께 가야 한다는 것이 글의 요지입니다. 본문에 나온 단어를 활용하여 요약문을 완성합니다. 해석: 팬들은 자신들의 특권이 자신이 지지하는 선수들에 대한 의무와 항상 함께한다는 것을 인식해야 합니다.

배점: 4점